

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

544 Klaeber

OBSERVATIONS ON THE FINN EPISODE

1. The Contending Parties

On one side we find the "Half Danes" (B. 1069), or "Danes" (1090, 1158), also loosely called Scyldingas (1069, 1108, 1154), with their king Hnæf, Hōc's son, and his chief thane Hengest. Other Danish warriors mentioned by name are Gūdlāf (1148, F. 16), Ōslāf (1148; called, more correctly, in the Fragment, l. 16: Ordlāf), Sigeferð of the tribe of the Secgan (F. 15, 24), Ēaha (F. 15), and Hūnlāfing (1143). Their enemies are the Frisians (1093, 1104) or Ēotan, i. e., "Jutes" (1072, 1088, 1141, 1145) under King Finn, Folcwalda's son, among whose retainers two only receive individual mention, namely Gārulf, son of Gūdlāf, (F. 18, 31, 33), and Gūðere (F. 18). Between the two parties stands Hildeburh, the wife of Finn (1153) and—as we gather from l. 1074 (and 1114, 1117)—sister of Hnæf.

The scene is in Friesland, at the residence of Finn.

It thus appears that the war is waged between a minor branch of the great Danish nation, the one which is referred to in Wīdsīð by the term Hōcingas,³ and which seems to have been associated with the tribe of the Secgan,⁴ and the Frisians, i. e., according to the current view, the "East" Frisians between the Zuider Zee and the river Ems (and on the neighboring islands). The noteworthy interchangeable use of the names "Frisians" and "Jutes" shows that the Jutes, that is the West Germanic tribe which settled in Kent and adjacent parts (Beda, H. E. I, 15), were conceived of as quite closely related to the Frisians. This seems to be due to the fact that the Jutes had lived, at any rate for some time previous to their migration to Britain, in the vicinity of the Frisians.⁵

 1 Cp. the inaccurate use of *Scyldingas* in the Heremod episodes (913, 1710). 2 Cp. 107, 1074, 1114, 1117.

³Wids. 28: Hnæf [wēold] Hōcingum.

4Or Sycgan; Wids. 31: Sæferð [weold] Sycgum, cp. Finnsb. 24.

⁶Hoops, Waldbäume und Kulturpflanzen im germ. Altertum, p. 585; Jordan, Verhandlungen der 49. Versammlung (1907) deutscher Philologen und Schulmänner, 1908, pp. 138-40. See also Siebs, P. Grdr.² I, 1158, II^a, 524; Einenkel, Angl. XXXV, 419.—A state of friction between the Jutes and the Danes is possibly hinted at in the first Heremöd episode of the Beowulf, l. 902.

As to the name of the Jutes, it is well known that they are called by Beda (H. E. I, 15; IV, 14 [16]): Iuti, Iutae; in OE.: Angl. $\bar{E}ote$, $\bar{I}ote$, ($\bar{I}otan$), IWS. $\bar{Y}te$, $\bar{Y}tan$. (Björkman, ESt. XXXIX, 356 ff.; Chambers, Widsith, p. 237 ff.) Of the forms used in Beowulf, the gen. pl. $\bar{E}otena$ is entirely regular; the dat. pl. $\bar{E}otenum$ (instead of $\bar{E}otum$) 1145 (also 902) is to be explained by the analogical influence of the gen. ending (cf. Sievers, Ags. Grammatik, §277, n. 1), unless it is due merely to scribal confusion with the noun eotenas. That really in all the instances the eotenas "giants," hence "enemies" (??) were meant (Rieger et al.), cannot be admitted.

Furthermore, the name of the Danish warrior $\bar{E}aha$ (by emendation: $\bar{E}awa$) has been connected with the "Ingvaeonic" Aviones (Tacitus, Germania, ch. 40).

However, neither "Frisians" nor "Danes" are mentioned in the Fragment. It has even been argued that the Danish nationality of Hnæf and Hengest is a Beowulfian innovation, and that the enemies of the Frisians (in history and legend) were really the *Chauci*, their eastern neighbors, or some other Ingvaeonic people. But the names Gūþlāf, Ordlāf (Hūnlāfing) certainly make us think of Danish tradition, since in Arngrim Jonnson's Skjoldunga Saga (ch. 4) the brothers Hunleifus, Gunnleifus, Oddleifus appear in the Danish royal line. (Chadwick, *The Origin of the English Nation*, p. 52, n.)

The point of view is distinctly—almost patriotically—Danish. The valor and loyalty of Hnæf's retainers (in the Fragment), Hildeburh's sorrow and Hengest's longing for vengeance (in the Episode) are uppermost in the minds of the poets. It is not without significance, perhaps, that all the direct speech (in the Fragment) has been assigned to the Danes, whereas the utterances of the Frisians are reported as indirect discourse only. On the other hand, no concealment is made of the fact that the Jutes have shown bad faith (B. 1071 f.). The final attack on Finn and his men, culminating in the complete victory of the Danes, is regarded as the main point of the story in Beowulf. Certainly, the lines announcing the recital of the Finn story in the Danish royal hall: [be] Finnes eaferum, $\delta \bar{a}$ hie se far begeat (1068) indicate clearly enough (by a characteristic anticipation) the victorious outcome: swylce . . . Finn eft begeat/sweordbealo 1146, 🕉 wæs Fin slægen,/cyning on corpre, 1151.

546 Klaeber

Finn himself, the husband of Hildeburh, plays such an insignificant part⁶ that the term "Finn legend" is virtually a misnomer, though "The Fight at Finnsburg" is an appropriate enough title for the fragmentary poem such as we know it.

That there was an historical foundation for this recital of warlike encounters among Germanic coast tribes, we may readily believe. No definite event, however, is known to us that could have served as the immediate model. Taking the Beowulfian version at its full value, an actual parallel of a war between Geats ("Danes") and Frisians (and Franks) is supplied by the expedition of Chochilaicus (Hygelāc), which took place between the years 512 and 520. The identification of Hengest with his better known namesake, who together with his brother Horsa led the Jutes to Britain, has been repeatedly proposed; but we should certainly expect a Jutish Hengest to have sided with the Frisians of our Finn tale. the term *Eotan* (i. e., Jutes) has been thought to refer to the Danish party (Hengest's party)8 is indeed a basic error in all the argumentations along this line. The only way of saving the person of the historical Hengest in this connection would be to assume that the Anglo-Saxon version embodies two distinct strata of early legend reflecting different phases of the history of the Jutes, viz., the settlement of the tribe in Jutland, which naturally tended to link them to the Danes (hence Hengest's position) and, on the other hand, their sojourn in proximity to the Frisians (hence $\overline{E}otan = Fr\overline{v}san$).

2. The Rôle of Hengest

After Hnæf's fall Hengest assumes command over the Danes and concludes a treaty with Finn. During the winter he stays with his men in Friesland. But deep in his heart burns the thought of revenge. The part played by him in the last act of the tragedy is somewhat obscure, since it is only vaguely alluded to in a few

⁶Just like Siggeir, the husband of Signý (Volsunga Saga) and Etzel, the husband of Kriemhilt (Nibelungenlied) in somewhat similar situations.—It almost looks as if Hildeburh herself directs the funeral rites (B. 1114 ff.).

⁷Thus, in recent times, by Chadwick, The Origin of the English Nation, p. 52; Clark Hall's Translation, 2nd ed., p. 180; Clarke, Sidelights on Teutonic History during the Migration Period, p. 185 ff.; Willy Meyer, Beiträge zur Geschichte der Eroberung Englands durch die Angelsachsen (Halle Diss., 1912).

⁸The impossibility of this view with regard to l. 1088 was insisted upon by Bugge, *Beitr.* XII, 37.

lines, which for years have proved one of the most troublesome passages of the entire poem: Swā hē ne forwyrnde woroldrādenne,/ ponne him Hūnlāfing hildelēoman (or Hildelēoman), billa sēlest on bearm dyde (1142 ff.). I would propose the following rendering. "Under these circumstances" (or "in this frame of mind") he did not refuse [him, i. e., Hunlafing] the condition (stipulation), when Hunlafing placed the battle-flame (Battle-Flame), the best of swords, on his lap (gave it into his possession)." It should be noted that forwyrnan is regularly used with the dative of the person (expressed or, as in this case, implied) and the genitive of the thing asked for or insisted upon. As to woroldraden, its second part, rāden, is not to be considered a mere derivative element (as in camp-, feond-, treow-reden, etc.), but should be understood as the main semasiological element of a full compound, meaning "condition." The first element, worold, referring to something which is in accordance with the ordinary course of life, seems to be used (like woruldmāgas, Gen. 2178, woruldnyt, Gen. 960, 1016, woruldman, Met. Boeth. IV, 51, etc.) without any very distinctive meaning of its own, suggesting, however, Christian associations (Angl. XXXV, 116). In other words, Hūnlāfing (that is, Hūnlāf's son [Hall, MLN. XXV, 113 f.], and nephew of Gūblāf and Oslāf) presents Hengest with a famous sword with the stipulation (or, on condition) [we now supply, by conjecture:] that the vengeance he is brooding over is to be carried into execution. Hengest accepts, and keeps his word. The sweordbealo slīden which overtakes Finn, is presumably administered by Hūnlāfing's gift (1146 f., 1152).

3. A Few Textual Notes are Subjoined

a. The interpretation of l. 1068 advocated above presupposes the old punctuation of Heyne, which makes the Episode begin at l. 1069: Hæleð Healf-Dena, Hnæf Scyldinga,/in Frēswæle feallan scolde. This would leave the immediately preceding passage in the following shape: bær wæs sang ond swēg, gomenwudu grēted, gid oft wrecen,/onne healgamen Hrōbgāres scop/æfter medobence mænan scolde,—/[be] Finnes eaferum, dā hāe se fær begeat. That is to say, "many a song was recited," gid oft wrecen 1065^b (Sievers, Beitr. XXIX, 571; also Angl. XXVII, 219, MPh. III, 249), and then a definite specimen of the scop's repertory is exhibi-

°The combination of manig and oft appears, e. g., in the familiar passage from Bede: ond for his lēopsongum monigra monna mōd oft tō worulde forhogdnisse onbærnde wæron 342. 9, = cuius carminibus multorum saepe animi ad contemtum saeculi . . . sunt . . accensi.

548 Klaeber

ted in summary and paraphrase. It might seem that the author passes rather abruptly (l. 1068) to the new theme, leaving unexpressed the thought: "and thus he sang." However, this difficulty vanishes, if the phrase of l. 1065^b be understood—as seems not improbable—in a more general sense: "there was plenty of entertainment by the scop" (or if gid be interpreted as part or "fit" of a lay). The insertion of be (Thorpe) in l. 1068: be Finnes eaferum "about Finn's men" or "about Finn and his men" (cp. Hrēdlingas 2960, eaforum Ecgwelan 1710) is after all more natural than the change to eaferan (Bonner Beitr. z. Angl. II, 183), though the latter would be quite possible stylistically (Angl. XXVIII, 443).

Of other modes of punctuation the one which makes the Episode (direct speech) begins at l. 1068: Finnes eaferum (. Hnæf. . . feallan scolde) (Ettmüller, Grein, etc.) suffers from the serious defect that the dative of (personal) agency "by Finn's men" is practically out of the question. It is true, a strong effort has recently been made to establish the use of this dative in Anglo-Saxon (A. Green, The Dative of Agency [1913], p. 95 ff., J E G Ph. XIII, 515 ff.), but the instances adduced are of questionable value and afford only very slender support. Moreover, such a rendering of ll. 1068-70 would be contradicted by the facts of the story, since it is the Danes, not the Frisians, who are overtaken by the sudden attack $(f\bar{e}r)^{10}$ leading to Hnæf's death unless we take (with Grein and Bugge) hæleð as acc. pl., referring to hie, which is certainly far-fetched. For the same reason we cannot agree with the punctuation adopted in the two latest German editions (and substantially identical with Thorpe's reading) which makes ll. 1069-70 the continuation of the subordinate clause introduced by $d\bar{a}$.

b. Ll. 1082-85. Þæt hē ne mehte on þæm meðelstede/wīg Hengeste wiht gefeohtan,/nē þā wēalāfe wīge forþringan/þēodnes ðegne. The construction wīg Hengeste . . gefeohtan, though unusual (Angl. XXVIII, 443 f.), may perhaps just as well be retained: "he could not at all give fight to Hengest." It possibly receives support from Muspilli 76: daz imo nioman kipāgan ni mak. (Nibel. 98: don kund im niht gestrīten/daz starke getwerc.) The apparently redundant wīg serves as "cognate accusative," com
10Cp. [þā hyne] se fær begeat 2230b, ðā hyne wīg beget 2872b, þā hyne sīo þrāg becwēm 2883b.

parable to rāde in Ags. Chronicle, A. D. 871: cyninges pegnas oft rāde onridon. Clearly, the general sense of the passage is: "he could be successful neither in the offensive nor in the defensive."

c. Ll. 1121-22^a. bengeato burston, ponne blōd ætspranc,/lāðbite lāces. An accurate description (I am told) of what might easily happen during the initial stage of the heating of the bodies by the funeral fire. This realistic trait puts one in mind of Scandinavian narrative and is paralleled, in fact, by a similar, though a good deal more repulsive observation cited by Alexander Bugge, Vikingerne I, 142 from an old Chronicle (ed. by O'Donovan), and which in a German translation (Olrik, Nordisches Geistesleben in heidnischer und frühchristlicher Zeit, p. 74) reads as follows:

"Einmal hatten drüben in Irland die Dänen und Norweger um die Herrschaft gestritten, und die Dänen hatten gesiegt. Irische Sendboten, die nach der Schlacht zu ihnen kamen, sahen, wie sie Feuer zum Bereiten des Mahles zwischen den Leichen angezündet und den Bratspiess in den toten Körpern befestigt hatten; durch das Feuer platzten nun diese Leichen, so dass die Eingeewide herausfielen. 'Weshalb tut ihr etwas so Hässliches?' sagten die Iren. 'Weshalb sollten wir es nicht?' sagten die Dänen; 'hätten die and eren gesiegt, so hätten sie das Gleiche mit uns getan.' "

FR. KLAEBER.

The University of Minnesota.